District:	High School:	Review Date:
The PAR is to be used to conduct an assessment of the District's CTF Progr	rams. The PAR will assist ADF/CTF staff in i	dentifying areas of strength as well as areas that requires improvement. It is expected

The PAR is to be used to conduct an assessment of the District's CTE Programs. The PAR will assist ADE/CTE staff in identifying areas of strength as well as areas that requires improvement. It is expected that areas that require improvement will result in a program improvement plan, which could be amended to your Basic Grant.

"Sample Evidence" column is not all inclusive and not all items identified are necessary as evidence.

Unit	Criteria	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Compliant	Exceeds Compliance	Sample Evidence	Commendations/Recommendation		tions	
Federal	Programs Unit									
Α	DMINISTRATION S	JPPORT for C	TE							
Federal Programs - Basic Grant Spec (BG) and visiting Local Director (LD)	1A. Principal/ Local Director/ Site Administration		1. Limited knowledge of CTE programs and offers minimal support. 2. More work needed to gain support.	1. Course catalog reflects coherent sequence of CTE courses. 2. Principal serves on CTE Advisory Boards and participates in annual program evaluation. 3. CTSO's active on campus. 4. Master schedule is conducive to enrollment in a coherent sequence of CTE courses.	Site Administrator promotes: 1. academic integration 2. collaborative learning 3. national standards for staff professional development 4. involvement from business & industry 5. cooperative education/internships as capstone experience	☐ The school is allocating facility space, equipment and funding for the program start-up ☐ Master schedule ☐ FTEs in CTE ☐ Course Catalog or description book ☐ Promotional materials ☐ Website ☐ Advisory committee/annual program evaluation membership roster ☐ Block schedule (minutes) ☐ ☐	□NC	□ PC	□c	□ EC
Federal Programs - BG Spec and LD	1B. District Administrator (one with most longevity)		1. Limited knowledge of CTE program and offer minimal support. 2. More work needed to gain support.	1. CTE programs showcased on school board agenda and minutes. 2. Participates in the annual evaluation and/or PAR.	District administrators & board take active role in promoting & supporting CTE programs: 1. state of the art facilities 2. computer-aided/ITV provide distance learning 3. provide non-trad curriculum delivery methods (block schedule, ITV, Career Pathways)	☐ The district is allocating resources for the program start-up ☐ Board agenda/minutes ☐ Annual program evaluation roster ☐ District admin has CTE background/experience ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	□NC	□PC	□c	□ EC

and LD Fund Busi	_		1. Budget consists of rough estimates of anticipated expenses/ resources 2. No itemized budget for any program	1. Produce detailed expenditure report that matches all grant guidelines 2. Demonstrates appropriate use of funds 3. Itemized budget for individual CTE program	1. Expenditure report shows consistent M & O support 2. Starts programs with district funds 3. Sustains and improves programs with district funds 4. Eliminates programs that fail to produce measurable	☐ Funds shown as a line item in the schools approved budget ☐ Budget ☐ Expenditure Report ☐ POs/Invoices ☐ Fixed Asset List				
Programs - BG Spec and LD Budg Fund Busi	udget/ inding isiness		consists of rough estimates of anticipated expenses/ resources 2. No itemized budget for any	expenditure report that matches all grant guidelines 2. Demonstrates appropriate use of funds 3. Itemized budget for	consistent M & O support 2. Starts programs with district funds 3. Sustains and improves programs with district funds 4. Eliminates programs that fail to produce measurable	item in the schools approved budget ☐Budget ☐Expenditure Report ☐POs/Invoices				
					outcomes	Stewardship List				
							☐ NC	☐ PC	□с	☐ EC
REPORT		,	,							
Spec Accu	mely & ccurate eporting		1.Submitted 50% of reports on time 2. Brief details 3. No measurable outcomes 4. Subjective	Reports submitted by due date Includes detail and measurable outcomes Some objective criteria	High level of detail Provides documentation to support outcomes Meets targeted completion dates		□ NC	□ PC	□c	□EC
	E OF NONDISC	RIMINATION								
Spec Notif Non-	nnual Public		1. District has a brief notification of nondiscriminati on statement 2. It is not published annually prior to the beginning of school 3. It does not contain all the necessary information	1. District annually provides public notification in media designed to reach the general public at the beginning of each school year stating that the CTE programs are offered without discrimination 2. Includes name and contact information for Section 504 and Title IX Coordinator 3. Notice only in English	1. Annual public notification also includes a listing of CTE program offerings 2. States a lack of English language skills will not be a barrier to admission 3. Notice is available in other languages as needed and in more than one media	Newspaper Website Newsletter Student/parent handbook	□ NC	□ PC	□c	□ EC

Unit	Criteria	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Compliant	Exceeds Compliance	Sample Evidence	Com	Commendations/Recommendations		
Federal	Programs Unit					<u> </u>				
NC	OTICE OF NONDISC	CRIMINATION	1							
Federal Programs – BG Spec	1F. Continuous Notification of Non- discrimination		a notice of nondiscrimin ation	District includes a notice of nondiscrimination in at least 90% of the publications including recruitment material	All publications (including the website) have the nondiscrimination statement in English and other languages as needed Includes Title IX and Section 504 Coordinators	☐ Course description book ☐ Student and/or parent handbook ☐ Recruitment materials ☐ Website ☐ DVDs/CDs ☐	□NC	□ PC	□c	□ EC
SF	ECIAL POPULATION	ONS (Disable	ed/Handicap, Lim	ited English Proficient, Econ	omic Disadvantaged, and Single	Parent, Non-Traditional)				
Federal Programs – BG Spec	Special Population Enrollment (Access)	er is dis	Special population enrollment in CTE is not equivalent to listrict's special expulation enrollment	enrollment in CTE is	Each CTE program area reflects special population enrollment whose percentage is equivalent to district enrollment	☐ Program enrollment comparisons ☐ 40 th /100th day enrollment ☐ 9-12 site enrollment ☐ Desk Monitoring Assurance 2 calculations ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	□ NC	□ PC	□c	□EC
II ——	ESS CALCULATION ict Special Ed % = <u>Di</u>	District 9-12 Sp	Ed Enrolled	the level of access for student	t groups. Calculate the percentages **CTE Special Ed % = CTE 9	9-12 Sp Ed Enrolled	Ed) & Sex:			
	ict Female % = <u>Distri</u>	9-12 Total Enro	nrolled olled ale Enrolled	= = =	District 9-12 CTE Enrolled **CTE Male % = CTE 9-12 Male Enrolled District 9-12 CTE Enrolled **CTE Female % = CTE 9-12 Female Enrolled District 9-12 CTE Enrolled		=.			
*Use the most current (unduplicated) October 1st enrollment figures **Use the most current VOCI-26 (Master Program enrollment) figures.										

Exceeds Compliance

Sample Evidence

Commendations/Recommendations

Compliant

Partially Compliant

		Compliant		•	-	-				
Federal I	Programs Ur	nit								
Federal Programs – BG Spec	1H. Services to Special Populations (Progress)		1. Lack of provisions for members of special population 2. Little or no planning to provide supplemental services 3. Lack of resources for teachers to provide services 4. Intervention fails to meet student needs	Defined provisions for members of Special Populations Clear outline of supplemental services Resources available to teachers Intervention meets student needs	Support services, strategies and activities enable special populations to meet SALP Integrated team approach to services and resources Intervention mainstreams students for curricular success and supports IEP transition plan	☐ Sample IEP ☐ IEPs Show student success, notes, dates, initials ☐ Instructional/ classroom aide ☐ Adaptive devices ☐ IEP Team Members ☐ Class Rosters ☐ Transition Plan ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	□NC	∏PC	∏¢	□EC
Federal Programs – BG Spec	11. Completion (Success)		Special Population students complete at rates outside 10% of the rate of the district's total non- special population	Special Population students complete at or within 10% of the same rate as non-special population students in the district	Special population students complete at or within +/-5% of the same rate as non- special population students in each CTE program area	☐ Concentrator reports ☐ Program enrollment ☐ Desk Monitoring Assurance 3 (prior year) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	□ NC	☐ PC	c	☐ EC
1I. SUCCES	SS CALCULATION	ON. This assu	rance determines the lev	vel of success for student gr	oups. Calculate non- and special p	population concentration percer	ntages:			
Non Sp I	Non Sp Pops Concentrator % = Non Sp Pops Concentrators* Career Prep Non Sp Pops Enrolled** Sp Pops Concentrator % = Sp Pops Concentrators* Career Prep Sp Pops Enrolled**									
NOTE: If these percentages sum to 100%e.g., 83% Non Sp Pops Concentrators and 17% Sp Pop Concentratorsthe respective student groups are being divided by the total enrolled. This is incorrect and will put programs in a far worse light.										
4. Based on the calculated percentages, are Special Population CTE students concentrating at the same rate (+/- 5 percentage points) as Non-Special Population CTE students? Yes No If "no", cite PIR goals (amend current BG or include in next year's BG) that include the district plan for improvement.										
*Use the mo	ost current Cond	centrator report	t figures.							
** Use the r	*Use the most current Concentrator report figures. ** Use the most current VOCI-26 Master Program enrollment figures. NOTE: When added together the two denominators should sum to the 'Total Program Count' shown on the VOCI 26.									

Unit

Criteria

Not

Federal	Programs Ur	nit				
FISCAL A	SSURANCES	Yes = Compliant. No = Not Compliant.	Commendations/Recommendations			
Federal Programs – BG Spec	1J. Audits	What is the date of the most recent audit of the districts CTE funds (state or federal)? NOTE: Explain to the LEA this is the annual district audit conducted by an independent CPA firm.	Date of Audit Fir Lead Au CTE Aud Exception	rm: Iditor: dit	□NC	□с
Federal Programs – BG Spec	1K. Conflict of Interest	Were any items purchased with Perkins or state funds from a vendor that employs a district employer or relative of a district employee? (if Yes, explain)	YES	NO		
		Were any items purchased with Perkins or state funds from a vendor in which a district employee has a financial investment? (If Yes, explain)	YES	NO	_	_
FII	41	Description of the second of t			☐ NC	□ C
Federal Programs	1L.	Does district have an established process for the: purchase,	YES	NO		
– BG	Fixed	identification, and	YES			
Spec	Assets	Inventorying of capital/equipment purchased with Perkins funds? (If No/unchecked, explain)	YES	NO		
	List/ Inventory	Based upon an approved project capital expenditure page, does equipment with a unit cost of \$5,000 or more, purchased with Perkins funds, appear on the district's fixed assets listing? Note: If the district guidelines stipulate an amount less than \$5,000, the fixed asset listing should reflect the district guideline.	YES			
		(If No/unchecked, provide a corrective action plan to address deficiency)				
		Does the Fixed Assets listing provide the:				
		Location (school, department, building, etc.)	YES	NO		
		identification number (tag number, serial number, or other number that specifically identifies the item)	YES	NO _		
		Description (model number, size, color, etc) Method of acquisition (purchase, donation, construction, trade, or lease-purchase)	YES YES	NO NO		
		Source of funding	YES	NO NO		
		Acquisition date (month and year of acquisition)	YES			
		Purchase document number (P.O. number, voucher number, or other document number that can be used to trace to the supporting documentation)	YES			
		Actual or estimated historical cost	YES	NO		
		Condition of asset (for asset with unit costs of \$5,000 or more purchased with federal monies)	YES	NO		
		Percentage of federal participation (for assets with unit costs of \$5,000 or more purchased with federal monies)	YES	NO	□NC	□c

	Programs Unit				
FISCAL AS	SSURANCES	Yes = Compliant. No = Not Compliant.			Commendations/Recommendations
Federal Programs – BG	1M. Stewardship	Does the District maintain a stewardship list for items costing at least \$1,000 but less than \$5,000? (or the District's capitalization threshold if less than \$5,000) (If No, explain) Does the:	YES	NO	
Spec	List	stewardship list include the description,	YES	NO	
		identification number (tag number, serial number, or other number that specifically identifies the item),	YES YES	NO _	
		physical location, and the month and year (mm/yyyy) of acquisition?	YES	NO NO	□ NC □ C
Federal Programs	1N.	Did this Basic Grant application request funds for vocational expenditures which were previously paid for by non-federal funds? (If Yes, explain)	YES	NO	
– BG Spec	Supplanting	Did this Basic Grant application request funds to purchase textbooks? If yes, are these textbooks required for the course/program?	YES	NO	
		Did this Basic Grant application request additional funding for personnel costs over and above the previous year's grant? (If Yes, explain)	YES	NO	□ NC □ C
Federal Programs	10.	Are Perkins funds being used to pay salaries/stipends of staff working less than 100% of their time on CTE activities? (If Yes, explain)	YES	NO	□ NC □ C
– BG Spec	Time & Effort [OMB	If no, the remaining items do not apply If yes, does the employee's position description (PD) detail their CTE responsibility? (If No/unchecked,	YES	NO 🗌	
	CIRCULAR NO. A-87	provide a corrective action plan to address deficiency)			
	Revised	Does the employer's PD state the percentage of time they will work on CTE activities? (If No/unchecked, provide a corrective action plan to address deficiency)	YES	NO	
	(Standards regarding time	Where employees work on multiple activities or cost objectives, a distribution of their salaries or wages should be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation. OMB CIRCULAR NO. A-87, Attachment B, Item 8 (Compensation for personal services). Does the district have a formal time and effort reporting system in place? (If No, explain)	YES	NO	
	distribution are in addition to	Does the district time and effort reporting system meet the following standards:			
	the standards	Reflect an after the fact distribution of the actual activity of each employee? Account for the total activity for which each employee is compensated?	YES YES	NO NO	
	for payroll documentation)	Are the reports prepared at least monthly and coincide with one or more pay periods?	YES	NO	
	documentation	Are the time and effort reports signed by the employee? (If No/unchecked, provide a corrective action plan to address deficiency)	YES	NO 🗌	
		Budget estimates or other distribution percentages:			
		Reflect a reasonable approximations of the CTE activity actually performed; Does the LEA conduct quarterly (or more frequent) comparisons of actual costs to budgeted	YES YES	NO NO	
		distributions based on the monthly activity reports? (Note: costs charged to Federal awards to reflect adjustments made as a result of the activity actually performed may be recorded annually if the quarterly comparisons show the differences between budgeted and actual costs are less than 10 %.)	120		
		The budget estimates or other distribution percentages are revised at least quarterly, if necessary, to reflect changed circumstances.	YES	NO	□ NC □ C

Federal Programs Unit
FISCAL ASSURANCES
Evidence for fiscal assurances: Basic Grant application (current and last 2 years) Completion reports for above (current and last 2 years) Purchase orders (POs) Invoices Inventory lists by program Stewardship lists Fixed asset inventory lists Time and effort logs Job descriptions Stipend (Addendum for off contract compensation) General ledger pages
Federal Programs Unit PAR Comments: